

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

			_	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/799,543	03/11/2004	Ke Han	13361-072001 / MP0413	7807
26200 FISH & RICH	7590 11/23/200 IARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			FOTAKIS, ARISTOCRATIS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/799,543	HAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ARISTOCRATIS FOTAKIS	2611		

	ARISTOCRATIS FOTAKIS	2611						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of th application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the polition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for pruposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensing the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensing the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension gives extension and the second of the control of the con								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4.								
non-allowable claim(s). non-allowable claim(s). for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ad.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
/CHIEH M FAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611	/Aristocratis Fotakis/ Examiner, Art Unit 2611							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicants submit that Cideciyan does not teach of a branch metric generator that generates branch metrics comprising the crosscorrelation term, which is referred to as the data-dependent or time varying term. Cideciyan's discloses that the branch metrics are used by an add-compare-select component and are not the same as branch metrics used by a branch metric generator

Examiner submits that Cideciyan teaches of branch metrics in a Viterbi detection. Cideciyan's discloses that the branch metrics are used by an add-compare-select component as being the next step of Viterbi detection in order to get the path metrics The skilled artisan would recognize that the branch metrics where generated or calculated by a device or unit.

Applicants submit that Cideciyan does not describe determining an input sequence of the partial response channel by maximizing cross-correlation of an estimated output sequence with the obtained output sequence. Minimizing the metric equation 3 in Cideciyan is not equivalent to determining an input sequence of the partial response channel by maximizing cross-correlation of an estimated output sequence. Decause Cidecivan is still determining the constants.

Examiner submits that equation 3 in Cideciyan has a data dependent term and a constant term. Since the constant never changes, in order to minimize the metric and the only term that changes is the data dependent term (cross correlation with a negative sign), it would be required to maximize the cross-correlation.

Applicants submit that claim 21 uses "means for" language. The Office has failed to comply with this legal requirement, making no effort to identify how the claim language has been interpreted to read on only the structures disclosed in the specification or equivalents thereof.

Examiner submits that the specification or the Figures do not show of any structure for the Viterbi detection. It is not clear from Applicants disclosure if any structures in the Viterbi detection system are used in order to perform the Viterbi method described.